

Immunophenotyping Extracellular Vesicles Using Amnis® Technology

Haley R. Pugsley, Bryan R. Davidson, Phil Morrissey | Luminex, Seattle, WA, United States

Introduction

Only recently has the importance of extracellular vesicles (EVs) as key mediators of intercellular communication been appreciated. EVs are membrane derived structures that include exosomes, microvesicles, and apoptotic bodies. In particular, exosomes have been shown to transfer molecules between cells and have the potential to transfer signals between cells. Exosomes are released under normal physiological conditions; however, they are also believed to serve as mediators in the pathogenesis of neurological, vascular, hematological, and autoimmune diseases, as well as cancer.

Quantifying and characterizing EVs in a reproducible and reliable manner is difficult due to their small size (exosomes range from 30 nm to 100 nm in diameter). Although EV analysis can be performed using high magnification microscopy, this technique has a very low throughput. Attempts to analyze EVs using traditional PMT-based flow cytometers has been hampered by the limit of detection of such small particles and their low refractive index.

To overcome these limitations, we employed the Amnis[®] CellStream[®] Flow Cytometer, which contains the Amnis[®] Time Delay Integration (TDI) image capturing system. This detection technology allows the CellStream[®] Instrument to combine the advantages of high throughput flow cytometry with high sensitivity to submicron particles.

In this study, the CellStream Flow Cytometer was used to immunophenotype EVs derived from red blood cells (RBCs) and platelets.

Methods

EVs were isolated from blood as follows: Erythrocytes (RBCs) and platelets were washed and treated with calcium ionophore (A23187) to induce vesiculation. The resulting vesicles were isolated via centrifugation.

Prepared EV samples were simultaneously incubated for 1 hour at room temperature with anti-CD235ab-PE (BioLegend) and anti-CD41-APC (BioLegend) to label RBC-derived EVs and plateletderived EVs, respectively. Samples were then serially diluted (1:60, 1:120, 1:240, 1:480, and 1:960) in PBS buffer.

Data were acquired using the CellStream Flow Cytometer for 3 minutes per sample. The 488 nm and 642 nm lasers were run at 100% laser power and no thresholding was used. Samples were run in duplicate on 3 separate CellStream instruments. Data were analyzed with the CellStream system's integrated analysis software.

Control samples were collected for antibody only and buffer only; detergent controls were collected for the antibody-labeled EV samples and for antibody-only samples, which were incubated in 0.1% Triton[®] X-100 (TX) for 10 minutes. All controls were similarly diluted in PBS and run on the CellStream System in the same manner as the EV samples.



Results

Identification of Potential EVs

To identify potential EVs, a gate was set using an SSC vs. FSC plot (Figure 1A). Using this "Potential EVs" gated population, (B) PE-positive (PE+), and (C) APC-positive (APC+) events were gated. Objects in the PE+ gate were the EVs labeled with CD235ab-PE, and objects in the APC+ gate were EVs labeled with CD41-APC.



Bivariate dot plots for the dilution series of RBC-EVs and platelet-EVs labeled with CD235ab-PE and CD41-APC, respectively, are shown in Figure 2. PE+ events from Figure 1B are colored green, and APC+ events from Figure 1C are colored red.



To verify detection of single EV particles and confirm swarm detection was not occurring, serial dilutions were performed. If single EV particles are being detected, the positive EV events will linearly decline while the fluorescence intensity of the positive events remains constant. Figure 3 illustrates the mean fluorescence intensities for PE (A) and APC (B) across each dilution series. The mean fluorescent intensities are from the PE+ or APC+ gates in Figure 1. There was no compensation of the data.

Figure 3.



B. Mean APC Intensity



Detection of EVs on the CellStream® Flow Cytometer

PE+ and APC+ objects per μ I for the various experimental and control samples are shown in Figure 4 (A, B): labelled EVs, antibody only, antibody + Triton^{*} X-100, labelled EVs + Triton X-100, and buffer only. The objects per μ L are the events in the PE+ or APC+ gates shown in Figure 1. The tables below show the average objects per μ I and standard deviations for the PE+ (C) and APC+ (D) events in Figures 4A and 4B, respectively.

Figure 4.



B. APC+ Objects per µl



C. Average PE+ Objects/µl

EVs		Antibody Only		Antibody + TX		EV + TX		Buffer Only	
Average	SD	Average	SD	Average	SD	Average	SD	Average	SD
2442	546	160	54	55	28	30	8	5	4
1199	89	99	49	17	7	13	5	19	1
566	39	44	22	15	9	9	3	17	1
235	43	64	108	18	14	13	9	9	1
154	21	32	37	10	5	10	5	15	2
	EVs Average 2442 1199 566 235 154	EVs Average SD 2442 546 1199 89 566 39 235 43 154 21	EVs Antibody Average SD Average 2442 546 160 1199 89 99 566 39 44 235 43 64 154 21 32	EVs Antibody Unly Average SD Average SD 2442 546 160 54 1199 89 999 49 566 39 442 22 235 43 644 108 154 21 32 37	EVsAntibody UnitsAntibodyAverageSDAverageSDAverage2442546160545561199899949175663944221523543641081815421323710	EVsAntibody UnlyAntibody TVIAverageSDAverageSDAverageSD24425461605455628119989994917756639442215923543641081814154213237105	EVs Antibody $>$ Ig Antibody $>$ Ig Antibody $>$ Ig Average SD SD	EVsAntibody ∪nlyAntibody +TXEV + TXAverageSDAverageSDAverageSDAverageSD24425461605455628300811998999491771355663944221599323543641081814139154213237105105	EVs Antibody $\Box Identering I$

D. Average APC+ Objects/µl

Dilution	EVs		Antibody Only		Antibody + TX		EV + TX		Buffer Only	
	Average	SD	Average	SD	Average	SD	Average	SD	Average	SD
1:60	1629	316	9	2	9	9	3	0	1	1
1:120	798	187	4	1	2	1	1	1	0	0
1:240	386	56	2	1	2	1	1	0	0	0
1:480	172	50	2	3	1	1	1	1	0	0
1:960	109	33	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0

Summary

In this study, EVs derived from RBCs and platelets were immunophenotyped on the CellStream Flow Cytometer. RBC- and platelet-derived EVs were labeled simultaneously with CD235ab-PE and CD41-APC antibodies. CD235ab is specific to RBC-derived EVs, and CD41 is specific to platelet-derived EVs. By performing simple gating on PE+ and APC+ events, we were able to separate out the RBC-derived EVs from the platelet-derived EVs (Figures 1 and 2). The average mean fluorescence intensity from 3 CellStream Flow Cytometers (Figure 3) and the objects per μ L for all the EV and control samples (Figure 4) are shown. The high sensitivity of the CellStream Flow Cytometer makes it an excellent platform for measuring and immunophenotyping EVs.

Acknowledgements

Thank you to John Nolan at Cellarcus Biosciences for the RBC and platelet EV samples and guidance.



For more information, please visit: luminexcorp.com/cellstream-flow-cytometers/

complexity **simplified.**

For Research Use Only. Not for use in diagnostic procedures. Products are region specific and may not be approved in some countries/regions. Please contact Luminex to obtain the appropriate product information for your country of residence.

©2019 Luminex Corporation. All rights reserved. Amnis and CellStream are trademarks of Luminex Corporation, registered in the U.S. and other countries. Triton is a trademark of The Dow Chemical Company or an affiliated company of Dow.

www.luminexcorp.com

HEADQUARTERS	
UNITED STATES	SEATTLE
+1.512.219.8020	+1 (206)-374-7000
info@luminexcorp.com	AmnisSupport@luminexcorp.com

LUMINEX CORPORATION 645 Elliot Avenue West Seattle, WA, USA 98119